

Relativistic Fluid Dynamics:

From Particle Colliders to Neutron Star Mergers

JORGE NORONHA University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Virtual Seminar, Santiago de Compostela, Spain, March 2020

Fluid behavior under extreme conditions

The Frontiers of Fluid Dynamics

"Macro" scales \rightarrow nuclear/particle physics



Quark-Gluon Plasma formed in ultrarelativistic hadron colliders

The Frontiers of Fluid Dynamics

Viscous Fluid Dynamics in Strong Fields



Neutron Star Mergers

(Nearly) Perfect Fluidity of the QGP in Colliders

QGP as a viscous relativistic fluid



J. Noronha-Hostler, B. Betz, JN, M. Gyulassy, PRL 2016

The unreasonable effectiveness of fluid dynamics in heavy-ion collisions

Quark-Gluon Plasma and Relativistic Fluid Dynamics

At first (< 2010), it seemed that hydrodynamics was justifiable

Very smooth fluid over nuclear length scales



near equilibrium dynamics

macro $\partial \varepsilon / \varepsilon_0 \sim 1/L$

micro $\ell \sim 1/T \sim 1/\Lambda_{QCD}$

Knudsen number

 $K_N \sim \ell \, \partial \varepsilon < 0.1$

Fluid dynamics at scales of the size of a large nucleus

Reality is much more complicated ...



- Unavoidable quantum fluctuations
- Large spatial gradients at early times

J. Noronha-Hostler, JN, M. Gyulassy, PRC 2016



PARADOX: Knudsen number is large but "hydro" still works

This issue must be understood ...

How can fluid dynamics emerge even far from equilibrium?

Relativistic Fluid Dynamics



What is the dissipative part?

Standard view (past 100 years): Knudsen/gradient expansion

Hydrodynamics \rightarrow Effective theory for $\{T, u_{\mu}\}$ near local equilibrium

Dissipation included via a Knudsen/gradient expansion



- Does this power series in Kn converge ? What if it doesn't?
- How does one approach the Kn ~ 1 limit ?

Does the Knudsen (gradient) series diverge?

Seminal work by Heller, Janik, Witaszczyk, PRL (2013)

Does the Knudsen (gradient) series diverge?

Holographic Duality



Klebanov, Maldacena, Physics Today (2009)

Model for the strongly coupled QGP

Holographic correspondence (gauge/gravity duality)

Maldacena 1997; Witten 1998; Gubser, Polyakov, Klebanov 1998

Strongly coupled gauge theory

String Theory/Classical Gravity



Black Hole "Engineering"

Example: QCD phase transition in the early universe

Buchel, Heller, JN, <u>arXiv:1603.05344</u>, PRD (2016)

Embed the strongly coupled plasma in an expanding universe (N=2* gauge theory)

Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) universe



- Isotropy and homogeneity
- Flow velocity is known

Knudsen number

$$K_N \sim H \sim \nabla_\mu u^\mu$$

Holography determines the entropy production

Example: QCD phase transition in the early universe

Buchel, Heller, JN, arXiv:1603.05344, PRD (2016)

Entropy production from holography – Expanding Universe

$$\frac{dS}{dt} \sim \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (K_N)^n\right]^2 \qquad c_n \sim n!$$

Series diverges!

After resummation of the divergent series $\rightarrow \sim e^{-\omega_{QNM}/K_N}$ Dual to black hole Quasinormal mode A Ringdown time "Divergent series are the invention of the devil, and it is shameful to base on them any demonstration whatsoever"





N. H. Abel (1802-1829)

How does one resum a divergent series?

How does one resum a divergent series?

MAGIC ?

In the End, It All Adds Up to - 1/12





New York Times (2014)

 $1 + 2 + 3 + \dots = -1/12$

Trans-series and Resurgence Theory

Ecalle (1980), Constin, Dunne, Unsal, Schiappa, Heller and etc

Example: Consider the following asymptotic expansion



Hydrodynamics expansion should also have this generalized form



Israel-Stewart Theory

Israel, Stewart, Ann. Phys. 118, 341 (1979)

This already involves a resummation of gradients

$$T_{\mu\nu} \implies$$
 set of variables are $\varepsilon, u_{\mu}, \pi_{\mu\nu}, \Pi$

Dynamics is given by the conservation laws and

 $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$



$$u^\lambda
abla_\lambda \pi^{\mu
u} + F^{\mu
u}(arepsilon,
abla_lpha u_eta, \pi^{lphaeta}, \Pi) = 0$$
 (shear η)

shear



$$u^{\lambda} \nabla_{\lambda} \Pi + F(\varepsilon, \nabla_{\alpha} u_{\beta}, \pi^{\alpha\beta}, \Pi) = 0$$
 (bulk ζ)

From Paradox to Paradigm



Is there universal behavior even far from equilibrium?

Hydrodynamic Attractors

Heller, Spalinski, PRL (2015)

System behaves as a fluid, even though gradients are very large



The analytical hydrodynamic attractor

G. Denicol, JN, PRD (2018), See also arXiv:1908.09957 [nucl-th]

Israel-Stewart theory with constant relaxation time au_R

$$\begin{split} D\varepsilon + (\varepsilon + P)\theta &- \pi^{\mu\nu}\sigma_{\mu\nu} = 0 &+ \text{Bjorken flow} \\ (\varepsilon + P)Du^{\mu} &- \Delta^{\mu}_{\lambda}\nabla^{\lambda}P + \Delta^{\mu}_{\lambda}\nabla_{\mu}\pi^{\mu\lambda} = 0 & \varepsilon = 3P \\ \tau_{R}\Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}D\pi^{\alpha\beta} + \delta_{\pi\pi}\,\theta\pi^{\mu\nu} + \tau_{\pi\pi}\,\Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}\pi^{\alpha\lambda}\sigma^{\beta}_{\lambda} - 2\,\tau_{R}\Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}\pi^{\alpha}_{\lambda}\omega^{\beta\lambda} + \pi^{\mu\nu} = 2\eta\sigma^{\mu\nu}, \end{split}$$

can be FULLY solved analytically

 $\varepsilon(\hat{\tau}) = \varepsilon_0 \, e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\hat{\tau} - \hat{\tau}_0)} \left(\frac{\hat{\tau}_0}{\hat{\tau}}\right)^{\frac{5}{6}} \left[\frac{\alpha \left(K_{\sqrt{a} - \frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right) - K_{\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{a}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right)\right) + I_{\sqrt{a} - \frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right) + I_{\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{a}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right)}{\alpha \left(K_{\sqrt{a} - \frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}_0}{2}\right) - K_{\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{a}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}_0}{2}\right)\right) + I_{\sqrt{a} - \frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}_0}{2}\right) + I_{\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{a}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}_0}{2}\right)}\right]$

Full solution for shear stress tensor

$$\chi(\hat{\tau}) = \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon + P} = \frac{3\sqrt{a}}{4} \left[\frac{\alpha \left(K_{\sqrt{a} - \frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2} \right) + K_{\sqrt{a} + \frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2} \right) \right) + I_{\sqrt{a} - \frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2} \right) - I_{\sqrt{a} + \frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2} \right)}{\alpha \left(K_{\sqrt{a} - \frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2} \right) - K_{\sqrt{a} + \frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2} \right) \right) + I_{\sqrt{a} - \frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2} \right) + I_{\sqrt{a} + \frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2} \right)} \right]$$





Non-perturbative behavior

$$\exp\{-1/K_N\}$$

- Resummation of gradient series
- Trans-series

Far-From-Equilibrium Hydro – Attractor Behavior

Boltzmann (weak coupling)

N = 4 SYM at strong coupling



- Very different transient behavior at weak vs. strong coupling.
- Jets: ideal tool to characterize the transient regime.

• This is the leading hydro-like justification for the collective phenomena observed in ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collisions.

 What are the consequences of these ideas to other areas of physics?

Emergence of fluid dynamics: A bird's-eye view



Daily life

Emergence of fluid dynamics: A bird's-eye view



What new phenomena appear when viscous fluids are coupled to strong gravitational fields?

Neutron Star Mergers



Fig. from L. Rezzolla's talk at QM2019

Reference: Most, Papenfort, Dexheimer, Hanauske, Schramm, Stöcker, Rezzolla, PRL (2019)

How does a lump of baryon rich QCD matter flow under strong gravitational fields?



New signatures for deconfinement/phase transitions? e.g. Most et al., PRL (2019)

Viscous fluid dynamics + strong gravitational fields?

Viscous effects in neutron star mergers? Duez et al PRD (2004), Shibata et al. PRD (2017), Alford et al. PRL (2018) What about the evolution of hypermassive remnants?

Duez, Liu, Shapiro, Stephens, PRD (2004)

- Viscosity and magnetic fields affect differentially rotating remnants
- Effective shear viscosity driven by local MHD turbulence

Shibata, Kiuchi, PRD (2017):



Gravitational wave form

Viscous effects in binary neutron-star mergers?

Alford, Bovard, Hanauske, Rezzolla, Schwenzer, PRL (2018)

Bulk viscous damping in neutron star mergers



Figure 3: The flow timescale $t_{\rm flow}$ obtained from a numericalrelativity simulation of two $1.35 M_{\odot}$ neutron stars [40]. The red (4 MeV) and gray (7 MeV) contours show the boundaries of the temperature range in which the bulk viscosity roughly takes its maximum value, while the green contour shows the inner region where the restmass density exceeds nuclear saturation density.

Alford and Harris, PRC (2019)

- Low densities (EOS)
- Neutrino transparency (low T)
- High frequencies (f > 1 kHz)

Viscous Fluid Effects in General Relativity

<u>Challenge</u>: Prove that the solutions $\{g_{\mu\nu}, T_{\mu\nu}, J_B^{\mu}\}$ are well posed (existence, uniqueness) and causal in the nonlinear regime

$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{R}{2}g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$

Conservation Laws + Viscosity

$$\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0 \qquad \qquad \nabla_{\mu}J^{\mu}_{B} = 0$$





"Does this apply always, sometimes, or never?"



(see Pichon, 1965)

Navier-Stokes ~ Nonlinear diffusion

$$\partial_t u = \frac{\zeta}{sT} \nabla^2 u + \dots$$



Also UNSTABLE!

What about Israel-Stewart theory?

• 16 coupled nonlinear PDE's (Einstein + fluid equations)

 $T^{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon u^{\mu}u^{\nu} + (P + \Pi)\Delta^{\mu\nu}$ $\Pi \longrightarrow$ Bulk scalar

Israel-Stewart Equation $\tau_{\Pi} u^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} \Pi + \Pi + \lambda \Pi^2 + \zeta \nabla_{\alpha} u^{\alpha} = 0$

• Causality? Existence? Uniqueness? Nonlinearity?

• Open problem in physics and mathematics since the 1970's.

1st Proof of CAUSALITY, EXISTENCE, AND UNIQUENESS in the full nonlinear regime

Theorem 1. Let $(\varepsilon, u^{\alpha}, \Pi, n, g_{\alpha\beta})$ be a solution to the EIS equations defined on a globally hyperbolic spacetime M, and let Σ be a Cauchy surface. Suppose $\frac{\zeta}{\tau_{\Pi}(\varepsilon+P+\Pi)} + \alpha_1 + \frac{\alpha_2 n}{\varepsilon+P+\Pi} \ge 0$ and that (1) holds. Then, for any $p \in M$ in the future of Σ , $(\varepsilon(p), u^{\alpha}(p), \Pi(p), n(p), g_{\alpha\beta}(p))$ depends only on $(\varepsilon, u^{\alpha}, \Pi, n, g_{\alpha\beta}, \kappa_{\alpha\beta})|_{\Sigma \cap J^{-}(p)}$, where $J^{-}(p)$ is the causal past of p and κ is the extrinsic curvature of Σ in M.

Theorem 2. Let $\mathcal{I} = (\Sigma, \mathring{\varepsilon}, \mathring{u}^i, \mathring{\Pi}, \mathring{n}, \mathring{g}_{ij}, \mathring{\kappa}_{ij})$ be an initial data set for the EIS system, with an equation of state $P = P(\varepsilon, n)$, a bulk viscosity $\zeta = \zeta(\varepsilon, n)$, and a relaxation time $\tau_{\Pi} = \tau_{\Pi}(\varepsilon, n)$. Assume that $\mathring{\varepsilon} + P(\mathring{\varepsilon}, \mathring{n}) + \mathring{\Pi}, \tau_{\Pi}(\mathring{\varepsilon}, \mathring{n}), \zeta(\mathring{\varepsilon}, \mathring{n}) > 0$, $\frac{\partial P}{\partial \varepsilon}(\mathring{\varepsilon}, \mathring{n}) + \frac{\partial P}{\partial n}(\mathring{\varepsilon}, \mathring{n})\mathring{n}/(\mathring{\varepsilon} + P(\mathring{\varepsilon}, \mathring{n}) + \mathring{\Pi}) \geq 0$, and that $\mathring{n}, \frac{\partial P}{\partial \varepsilon}(\mathring{\varepsilon}, \mathring{n})$, and $\frac{\partial P}{\partial n}(\mathring{\varepsilon}, \mathring{n})$ are nonzero. Suppose that $\mathring{g}_{ij} \in H^{s+1}_{ul}(\Sigma), \mathring{\varepsilon}, \mathring{u}^i, \mathring{\Pi}, \mathring{n}, \mathring{\kappa}_{ij} \in H^s_{ul}(\Sigma)$, and that $P, \zeta, \lambda, \tau_{\Pi} \in C^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where $s \geq 3$. Suppose that (1) holds for \mathcal{I} . Then, there exists a globally hyperbolic development of \mathcal{I} .

Bemfica, Disconzi, Noronha PRL (2019)

Proved that Einstein-Israel-Stewart equations can be written as

$$A_0(\Phi)\nabla_t\Phi + A^i(\Phi)\nabla_i\Phi + B(\Phi)\Phi = 0$$

where $\Phi = (arepsilon, n, u_{\mu}, \Pi, g_{\mu
u}, \partial g_{\mu
u})$



- Full generalization to include shear viscosity: Done!
- Investigate consequences to neutron star mergers and heavy-ion collisions (HIC).

Causality constraint and small systems in heavy ions

$$\frac{\zeta}{\tau_{\Pi}(\varepsilon + P + \Pi)} < 1 - c_s^2$$

Zero baryon density

Causality C. Shen, QM2019



Is the description of relativistic viscous fluids unique?

Is the description of relativistic fluid dynamics unique?

- Israel-Stewart "theory" not unique (eg. rBRSSS vs. DNMR).
- <u>**Transient</u>** behavior of strongly coupled (holographic) liquids has different properties than Israel-Stewart theory.</u>

Denicol, Niemi, JN, Rischke, PRD (2011) Heller, Janik, Spalinski, Witaszczyk, PRL (2014) See also Grozdanov, Lucas, Poovuttikul, PRD (2019)

Is there another way to describe the motion of viscous fluids that is compatible with (general) relativity?

Revisiting the gradient expansion

Hydrodynamics: Simplest effective theory for $\{T, u^{\mu}\}$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu}_{eq}(T, u^{\lambda}) + T^{\mu\nu}_{viscous}$$

Viscous correction? Gradient expansion

Chapman-Enskog, 1930's BRSSS, JHEP (2008) BMHR, JHEP (2008)

 $\mu_B = 0$



Revisiting the gradient expansion

IMPORTANT: <u>If</u> Landau definition is assumed to be valid throughout

- First order truncation leads to relativistic Navier-Stokes theory, which is <u>acausal and unstable</u>.
- This is not fixed by going to 2nd order in spatial gradients (note this is <u>NOT</u> Israel-Stewart theory).
- Can one make the gradient expansion causal and stable?

A new approach to relativistic viscous fluid dynamics

Based on Bemfica, Disconzi, JN, PRD (2017) and PRD (2019) See also P. Kovtun, JHEP (2019).

Effective theory: Space-time derivative expansion

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{viscous}(\nabla T,\nabla u) \Longrightarrow$$

Most general <u>derivative</u> expansion compatible with symmetries

- Definition of T and u^{μ} not unique out of equilibrium.
- No reason to expect a priori that Landau's definition is a reasonable choice of variables.
 Tsumura, Kunihiro, PLB (2008) Van, Biro, EPJ ST (2008)

A new approach to relativistic viscous fluid dynamics

Based on Bemfica, Disconzi, JN, PRD (2017) and PRD (2019) See also P. Kovtun, JHEP (2019)

Most general derivative expansion compatible with symmetries

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\varepsilon + \mathcal{A}_1)u^{\mu}u^{\nu} + (P(\varepsilon) + \mathcal{A}_2)\Delta^{\mu\nu} - 2\eta\sigma^{\mu\nu} + u^{\mu}\mathcal{Q}^{\nu} + u^{\nu}\mathcal{Q}^{\mu}$$

where to 1st order in derivatives

$$\mathcal{A}_{1} = \chi_{1} \underbrace{u^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} \varepsilon}_{\varepsilon + P} + \chi_{2} \nabla_{\alpha} u^{\alpha}, \qquad \mathcal{A}_{2} = \chi_{3} \underbrace{u^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} \varepsilon}_{\varepsilon + P} + \chi_{4} \nabla_{\alpha} u^{\alpha}, \qquad \mathcal{Q}_{\mu} = \lambda \left(\frac{c_{s}^{2} \Delta_{\mu}^{\nu} \nabla_{\nu} \varepsilon}{\varepsilon + P} + u^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} v^{\alpha} \right)$$

Energy density correction

Pressure correction

Heat flow

Equations of motion:

$$\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

Causality and well-posedness are valid in the full nonlinear regime, also including Einstein's equations, when

 $\lambda, \chi_1 > 0, \ \eta \geq 0$ Rigorous theorems in Bemfica, Disconzi, JN, PRD (2019)

 $\lambda \ge \eta$, <u>Arbitrary EOS</u>

$$3\chi_4 \ge 4\eta,$$

$$\lambda\chi_1 + c_s^2 \lambda \left(\chi_4 - \frac{4\eta}{3}\right) \ge c_s^2 \lambda\chi_2 + \lambda\chi_3 + \chi_2\chi_3 - \chi_1 \left(\chi_4 - \frac{4}{3}\eta\right) > 0.$$

Linear stability also holds:

P. Kovtun, JHEP (2019). Bemfica, Disconzi, JN, PRD (2019).

- Heat flow coefficient lower bound from causality.
- Only 6 coefficients (Israel-Stewart > 10).
- No additional fields besides $\{T, u^{\mu}\}$.
- Very different δf at freeze-out (scalar, <u>vector</u>, tensor).

Conclusions

- QGP formed in heavy ions forces us to explore fluid dynamics in the far from equilibrium regime.
- New understanding about the emergence of fluid dynamics under extreme conditions: attractors!
- Many connections to string theory, cosmology, lacksquareastrophysics, and mathematics.
- New formulation of relativistic viscous fluids.
- New results pave the way for the systematic study of of viscous effects in HIC and neutron star mergers.